Item No. 17.	Classification: Open	Date: 11 January 2012	Meeting Name: Rotherhithe Community Council	
Report title:		Determination of statutory objection to proposed formalisation of existing at any time waiting restriction in Hillbeck Close.		
Ward(s) or groups affected:		All wards within Rotherhithe Community Council		
From:		Senior Engineer, Public Realm Projects (Parking Projects)		

## **RECOMMENDATION**

- 1. It is recommended that the Community Council consider the one objection received in regard to the proposed formalisation of an existing at any time waiting restriction in Hillbeck Close.
- 2. That the community council reject the objection and instructs officers to make the Order for the existing at any time waiting restriction and instruct officers to write to the objector giving reason for the decision.

#### **BACKGROUND INFORMATION**

- 3. In May and August 2011 the council's refuse and recycling contractor, Veolia, contacted the parking design team to report the access problem the refuse vehicles are currently experiencing in Hillbeck Close.
- 4. Parts of Hillbeck Close is currently controlled with double yellow lines which over the years have become very worn and faded, this has therefore resulted in vehicles parking in undesirable spaces.
- 5. Our initial investigations identified that a fire engine would not be able to access Hillbeck Close if vehicles are parked on either side of the road, this is illustrated in Appendix 1.
- 6. As it was evident that the existing double yellow lines are worn and faded, it was not deemed necessary to seek approval from Rotherhithe Community Council for what would effectively be formalising an existing double yellow line road marking.
- 7. The Council gave notice of its intent to make a new order on 15 December 2011, under section 6 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act, 1984
- 8. Statutory consultation was carried out for a period of three weeks via street and press notices; a copy of the proposed orders was also sent to statutory consultees.
- 9. This report presents details of the one objection that was received during the statutory consultation period.
- 10. Part 3H paragraph 18 sets out that determination of such matters is reserved to community council for decision.
- 11. The origins and reasons for the recommendations are discussed in the main body of the report.

#### **KEY ISSUES FOR CONSIDERATION**

## **Objection**

- 12. The proposed Traffic Management Order (TMO) was made by way of street and press notices in accordance with The Local Authorities' Traffic Orders (Procedure) (England and Wales) Regulations 1996.
- 13. During the statutory, three week consultation period one written objection was received on 8 December 2011. The detail of the objection is provided in Appendix 2.
- 14. The objection states:

There are approximately 10 businesses on or near Hillbeck Close which will be affected by their proposal as they are reliant on customers being able to park in Hillbeck Close.

# Reasons for report recommendations

- 15. It is apparent that double yellow lines do exist in Hillbeck Close on west side of the street, but have become very worn and faded.
- 16. If vehicles continue to park on the west side of Hillbeck Close, access for a fire engine would be obstructed in the case of an emergency. This has been concluded using swept path analysis.
- 17. In view of the above, it is recommended that the objection is rejected and the order is made and the yellow lines are refreshed.
- 18. It should be noted that we are not proposing to introduce any additional yellow line only formalising that which is existing. This means that a stretch of the east side of Hillbeck Close (approx 4 car spaces) remains without restriction for general parking.

## **POLICY IMPLICATIONS**

19. The recommendations contained within this report are consistent with the polices contained within the Transport Plan.

#### **COMMUNITY IMPACT STATEMENT**

20. The policies within the Parking and Enforcement Plan are upheld within this report have been subject to an Equality Impact Assessment (EqIA).

#### **RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS**

23. All costs arising from implementing the proposals, as set out in the report, will be fully contained within the existing local parking amendment budget.

#### **CONSULTATION**

- 24. The statutory consultation carried out to date is detailed within the body of the report.
- 25. Formal notification of the council's intent to make a Traffic Management Order has been made in accordance with The Local Authorities' Traffic Orders (Procedure) (England and Wales) Regulations 1996

# **REASONS FOR LATENESS AND URGENCY**

26. Local parking amendments are implemented on a quarterly basis and the cost of the Traffic Management Orders required to implement the changes are included in the budget for quarter 3 therefore decisions need to be taken in January for implementation before March 2012. The report was ommitted from the agenda in error.

## **BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS**

Back ground Papers	Held At	Contact
Transport Plan 2011	Southwark Council	Tim Walker
	Environment Public Realm Network Development 160 Tooley Street London SE1 2QH	(020 7525 2021)
	Online: http://www.southwark.gov. uk/info/200107/transport_p olicy/1947/southwark_trans port_plan_2011	

# **APPENDICES**

No.	Title
Appendix 1	Map of Hillbeck Close
Appendix 2	Objection

# **AUDIT TRAIL**

Lead Officer	Tim Walker, Senior Engineer					
Report Author	Paul Gellard, Transport and Projects Officer					
Version	Final					
Dated	21 December 2011					
Key Decision?	No					
CONSULTATION WITH OTHER OFFICERS / DIRECTORATES / CABINET						
MEMBER						
Officer Title		Comments Sought	Comments included			
Strategic Director for Legal and		No	No			
Democratic Services						
Finance Director		No	No			
Cabinet Member		No	No			
Date final report sent to Constitutional Team			21 December 2011			